

SGSSS -DTP Supervisor-led Steers Competit

	<p align="center">Research Proposal (OUT OF 10) (Please note that the descriptors can be used with discretion if a good case to do so) PLEASE NOTE THAT YOU SHOULD CONSIDER ALL THE CRITERIA IN ASSESSING THE PROPOSAL</p>
<p align="center">Marking Criteria</p>	<p align="center">Candidate can demonstrate:</p> <p align="center">An excellent proposal (MEETING THE STEER CRITERIA) and in terms of both cogency and originality. All components of the proposal – context, methodology, and impact – will be well thought out and clearly expressed.</p>
<p align="center">10</p>	<p align="center">Proposal is exceptionally good in all of its components and fulfils criteria 7-9 below</p>
<p align="center">9</p>	<p align="center">Proposal is highly original and innovative, at the cutting edge of developments substantively and methodologically and fulfils criteria 7 and 8 below</p>
<p align="center">8</p>	<p align="center">Proposal contains clear awareness of the potential impact of the research and fulfils criterion 7 below</p>
<p align="center">7</p>	<p align="center">A well-defined proposal with researchable questions, a clear rationale, identified sources, an awareness of the theoretical and practical background to the research and an appropriate methodology. A proposal should display an awareness of the research for its societal relevance.</p>

	<p style="text-align: center;">Candidate can demonstrate:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A good and promising proposal but with identifiable weaknesses but not all, components of the proposal will be problematic or show a lack of knowledge.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plus</p>
6	A good proposal with only minor but still identifiable weaknesses. The research question will be clear, the methodology appropriate, and most of the appropriate literature identified.
5	A promising proposal that suffers from several weaknesses. The methodology is appropriate but ill-expressed. The proposal is grounded in relevant literature.
4	A proposal with one serious weakness or several minor ones. It suggests gaps in knowledge and a weak grasp of the proposal's methodology and its suitability.
3	A proposal with significant weaknesses in multiple components, including appreciation of possible methodologies, and/or awareness of relevant literature.

2	A problematic proposal that would need considerable additional work before being fundable. All components of the proposal require further work and/or demonstrate little or no background interest in their subject.
1	A problematic proposal that would need considerable additional work before being fundable. All components of the proposal require further work and/or demonstrate little or no background interest in their subject.

ion Award Marking Framework (2019)

where there is a R FIT WITH STEER	Supervision & Training (Please score out of 5 - this will then be double weighted) PLEASE NOTE THAT YOU SHOULD CONSIDER FIT WITH STEER CRITERIA IN ASSESSING THE CONSTITUTION OF THE SUPERVISORY TEAM AND THE CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO ADVANCED TRAINING DURING THE COURSE OF THE PhD
d scoring well in – overview, out and clearly	
ments	
ng edge of ally,	
of the research	
ppropriately d empirical dology. The economic and	

<p>nesses. Some, c, ill- expressed,</p>	<p></p>
<p>nesses. The ite and clearly d.</p>	<p></p>
<p>. The is only weakly</p>	<p>Supervision arrangements represent a perfect fit with the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes an experienced supervisor with recognised expertise in the field.</p>
<p>es, which sed</p>	<p>Supervision arrangements represent a very good fit with the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes an experienced supervisor with recognised expertise in the field.</p> <p>The supervision combination meets very well the student's potential training needs and has very good plans around advanced training . The destination HEI offers high-quality specialist training. The research fits well with the wider department/school/faculty.</p>
<p>ents, little of relevant</p>	<p>Supervision arrangements represent a good fit with the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes an experienced supervisor with recognised expertise in the field. The supervision combination meets well the student's potential training needs and has good plans around advanced training . The destination HEI offers high-quality specialist training. The research fits well with the wider department/school/faculty.</p>

<p>tional al will round or</p>	<p>Supervision arrangements represent an adequate fit with the proposed research in relation to methods, substantive topic area and academic/policy networks. The supervisory team includes an experienced supervisor with some expertise in the field.</p> <p>The supervision combination meets directly the student's potential training needs and has adequate plans around advanced training. The destination HEI offers good quality specialist training. The research fits with the wider department/school/faculty.</p>
<p>tional al will round or</p>	<p>The supervision combination meets directly the student's potential training needs and has adequate plans around advanced training. The destination HEI offers good quality specialist training. The research fits with the wider department/school/faculty.</p>